

1 STEPHEN C. NEAL (170085)
(nealsc@cooley.com)
2 LORI R.E. PLOEGER (202113)
(lploeger@cooley.com)
3 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP
Five Palo Alto Square
4 3000 El Camino Real
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155
5 Telephone: (650) 843-5000
Facsimile: (650) 857-0663

6 FRANK V. PIETRANTONIO (pro hac vice)
(fpietrantonio@cooley.com)
7 JONATHAN G. GRAVES (pro hac vice)
(jgraves@cooley.com)
8 One Freedom Square, Reston Town Center
9 11951 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190-5656
10 Telephone: (703) 456-8000
Facsimile: (703) 456-8100

11
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY LICENSING L.P. in
13 the Cases Transferred from the Eastern District of Texas
except *Katz v. GE*

14
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 WESTERN DIVISION

18 In Re KATZ INTERACTIVE CALL
PROCESSING LITIGATION

Case No. 07-ML-1816-B-RGK
(FFMx)

19 This document relates to:

Case No. CV07-2196-RGK (FFMx)

20 RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY
21 LICENSING, L.P.,

**PLAINTIFF RONALD A. KATZ
TECHNOLOGY LICENSING, L.P.'S
AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL**

22 Plaintiff and Counter Defendant,

23 v.

24 AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., et al.,

Judge: Hon. R. Gary Klausner

25 Defendants and Counter-

26 Claimants.

1 Notice is hereby given that Ronald A. Katz Technology Licensing, L.P.,
2 plaintiff and counter-defendant in the above-named case, hereby amends the Notice
3 of Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, originally
4 filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California on
5 June 22, 2009 (MDL Dkt. No. 6601), from the final judgment entered in this action
6 in the United States District Court for the Central District of California on or about
7 May 27, 2009, in favor of American Airlines, Inc. [AA Dkt. No. 510, MDL Dkt.
8 No. 6480], Federal Express Corporation, FedEx Corporate Services, Inc., FedEx
9 Corporation, and FedEx Customer Information Services, Inc. [AA Dkt. No. 509,
10 MDL Dkt. No. 6477], and from all interlocutory orders that gave rise to that
11 judgment including, without limitation, the Court's Further Status Conference
12 Order of August 14, 2007 [AA Dkt. No. 79, MDL Dkt. No. 125], the Court's Order
13 of August 14, 2007, amending its Further Status Conference Order [AA Dkt. No.
14 87, MDL Dkt. No. 211], the Court's Order of August 31, 2007, re Defendant
15 Ahold USA, Inc., et al.'s Motion to Limit Claims (DE 55) and Defendant T-
16 Mobile's Motion to Limit Claims (DE 89) [MDL Dkt. No. 221], the Court's Order
17 re: Claim Construction dated February 21, 2008 [AA Dkt. No. 148, MDL Dkt. No.
18 1448], the Court's Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Joint
19 Summary Judgment of Invalidity Under Section 102 and 103, dated August 4, 2008
20 [AA Dkt. No. 295, MDL Dkt. No. 2547], the Court's Order Granting in Part
21 Plaintiff's Motions for Relief from the Court's August 31, 2007 Order Limiting
22 Claims, dated March 13, 2008 [AA Dkt. No. 180, MDL Dkt. No. 1712], the Court's
23 Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion to Sever and Stay Concerning Non-Selected
24 Claims, dated March 27, 2008 [AA Dkt. No. 184, MDL Dkt. No. 1817], the Court's
25 Order Clarifying the March 13, 2008 Order and Denying Defendants' Motion to
26 Strike, dated March 3, 2008 (sic) [AA Dkt. No. 189, MDL Dkt. No. 1847], the
27 Court's Order of May 20, 2008, Granting Defendants' Ex Parte Application to
28 Enforce the Court's March 13, 2008 Order Limiting Claims [AA Dkt. No. 251,

MDL Dkt. No. 2173], the Court's Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants' Joint Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity Under Section 112 dated June 19, 2008 [AA Dkt. No. 270, MDL Dkt. No. 2330], the Court's Order of March 11, 2009, Granting in Part Certain Defendants' Memorandum in Support of Motion to Strike Edward G. Fiorito's Expert Reports and to Exclude His Expert Testimony [AA Dkt. No. 490, MDL Dkt. No. 5388], and the Court's Order of May 1, 2009 Ruling on American Airlines, Federal Express, and Katz's Individual Summary Judgment Motions [AA Dkt. No. 496, MDL Dkt. No. 6332]; and from the Bill of Costs entered in this action in the United States District Court for the Central District of California on or about July 2, 2009, in favor of American Airlines, Inc. (MDL Dkt. No. 6623), and the Court's (In Chambers) Order of September 8, 2009, Denying in Part and Granting in Part Plaintiff's Motion to Retax Costs re American Airlines (AA Dkt. No. 556, MDL Dkt. No. 6755).

Dated: September 16, 2009

COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP

/s/ Jonathan G. Graves

Jonathan G. Graves (pro hac vice)

Attorneys for Plaintiff
RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY
LICENSING, L.P.

1146420 v1/SF